

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF LAKENHEATH PARISH COUNCIL

HELD AT THE METHODIST CHURCH CHAPEL ON MONDAY 4th April 2016.

Present: - Messrs D Gathercole (Chairman)

D Durrant	Mrs Brown
E Morley	Mrs Whitehand
R Norman	Miss Marston
J Gentle	

Sqdn Ldr Neild and 1 member of the public were present.

The Chairman opened the meeting and asked for the agenda to be varied. All agreed.

4. Reports from:-

(a) RAF Commander Lakenheath

Sqdn Ldr Neild said that March had been very busy but that April would be much quieter. He added that there might be the odd departure at a funny time but that would be an oddball not the standard. He said that the Eriswell Road closure at the end of April would make gate 2 difficult.

The Chairman said that he had received a complaint from a resident regarding cars being started and left to run by USAF personnel. He said that it is an offence to leave a running car unattended.

Cllr Gentle said that he had also experienced cars being started and left running for 15 minutes with fumes getting into the house.

Sqdn Ldr Neild said that it was Environmental Month in April and he would feed the information back.

The Chairman thanked Sqdn Ldr Neild and he left the meeting.

1. Apologies for Absence

Councillors Gyte, Lucas and Frost.

2. Declarations of Interest

Cllr Gathercole – Lakenheath Playingfield Association and Youth Club.

Cllr Brown - Lakenheath Youth FC Treasurer and Lakenheath Peace Memorial Hall Volunteer/Peoples Project.

Cllr Marston - Christian Enterprise Foundation, Lakenheath Community Primary School and Forest Heath District Council.

Cllr Whitehand - Lakenheath Junior Youth Club, Lakenheath Playingfield Association, Lakenheath Carnival.

3. Police Matters

The clerk read a letter from Suffolk Constabulary explaining the changes to Local Policing and the attendance of Police at Parish Council meetings. She advised that the new electronic monthly update had not been available on their website.

The clerk read an email from PS 949 Davy Hill who now covers Lakenheath.

Cllr Norman suggested inviting him along to a meeting.

The Chairman said that he had attended the SALC meeting and that the Crime Commissioner had given a talk. Spending cuts of £5m were being implemented with the following Officer reductions - 4 Inspectors, 6 Sergeants, 13 Constables, 59 PCSOs and 13 office staff. Concentration would be given to speeding offences. The Chairman added that he had a copy of the report available to everyone.

Cllr Norman said that there had been a report of criminal damage in Hall Drive. A TPO tree was cut down and the offenders made off.

The Chairman said that he had mentioned vandalism but that is was not high priority.

Cllr Norman added that Suffolk Constabulary are recruiting.

4. Confirmation of Minutes of Meetings held on 7th March 2016

It was proposed to accept minutes. All agreed.

5. Representations from Members of the Public

Mr Rolfe asked for an item to be cleared up - S106 doesn't apply like it did and that it had to be for a specific reason. He said that Cllr Marston's article in the Lakenheath Times gave a false impression and that it does not work like that. Extra housing does not mean extra money and it was misleading. He asked for it to be cleared up in next month's Lakenheath Times. He added that a consultation had been carried out a few months ago with Lakenheath to receive 800 houses it was now nearer to 2000 and that goal posts were being moved. He said that houses should be built near to towns where there are jobs. He added that he thought Cllr Noble hadn't said that the proposed school was as large as 420 places.

4. Reports from:-

(b) Forest Heath District Council

Cllr Marston said that the Local Plan Consultation was now underway until the 8th of June. She added that an event would be held in Lakenheath on the 21st of April between 4 & 7pm and other events are available around the district. She went on to say that 18,000 brown bins had been taken up in West Suffolk, 24%. She added that she had not received any response, either positive or negative and it seems to have run smoothly. She went on to say that she would comment against Cllr Noble, that FHDC have not yet gained control of the Solar Farm at Toggam Farm.

Cllr Brown said she too would like some clarification on the Solar Farm. Following on from Mr Rolfe's representation she had received an email from Cllr. Stephen Edwards, Cabinet member for Resources and performance 17 March stating:

'The project (including the cable lay, grid connection, panel costs etc.) is being financed by the project owners at Toggam Farm as part of the solar farm development project (I understand this is from a mixture of personal investment and specialist borrowing).

Back in September the Councils due diligence highlighted a number of risks that the Council would face if it developed the project out itself. The current arrangement is that the owners of the site are developing out the project at their own risk as they would of always done, however if once the project is complete and only if they are able to access critical government funding under the feed in tariff regime which ends on 31st March 16, would Forest Heath enter a dialogue with the developers regarding the purchase of the project 'completed'. Access to this critical government funding is what makes the project financially viable and removed the highest risk.

This decision was made by Forest Heath's Full Council through its democratic process.

The terms of the transaction are still commercial confidentially however if the transaction completes, I would be more than happy to visit the Parish Council to give a fuller briefing on the project and its benefits.

Cllr Brown added that clarification is needed together with the costs attached and how it is to be funded by FHDC.

Cllr Gentle said that £130k had been spent on due diligence.

Cllr Marston said that there had been reasons not to purchase the site and that they were being worked through individually. She added that hopefully the purchase would then go through.

Cllr Gentle asked why FHDC had not consulted the Parish Council.

Cllr Marston said that everything was in the public domain and that everyone was welcome to come along to meetings.

Cllr Norman said that there was lots of fly tipping on land between the Undley and Sedge Fen Roads.

Cllr Brown said that holes had been made bigger in the road but that Mr Clayton will ensure that they will be put back to their original state.

Cllr Marston said that liaison was taking place to ensure this happened.

Cllr Marston said that 3-4 goalposts needed moving. If the owner could achieve this then he would come back to talk with FHDC. She added that it was a commercial investment to create revenue.

Cllr Gentle said that FHDC's past investments had not achieved.

Cllr Marston said that Cllr Edwards could present the costings.

Cllr Gentle said that it was too late once it was done.

Cllr Brown said that in this month's Lakenheath Times, Cllr Marston talks about S106 money. She asked to remind Cllr Marston of the email (following the Sharpes Corner planning application) from Charlotte Waugh, Planning Officer, of 6 November 2015, where she sets out how this money can now be obtained. She added that it is contrary to the information provided in the magazine. The email states:

'for all new s106 requests then, the monies requested have to be directed at a specific project and cannot be a "general tariff" like our public open space monies (that you used to utilise regularly).

For project to be considered it has to pass the legal tests (CIL 2010 Regulation 122) which means they have to:

- (a) be a valid reason for granting planning permission (necessary)*
- (b) off-set the harm cause by the development (directly related) and*
- (c) be proportionate (fair).*

So to request s106 monies, the monies have to go to something specific, be something that we have not had more than 5 obligations for and something that is necessary to grant planning permission'.

Cllr Brown asked that the District Council corrects this information in next month's magazine edition.

Cllr Marston said that S106 monies can still be gained. She said that she was not pro or against building work, she had no predetermined view. She added that S106 can grow.

Cllr Brown said that goes against what planning says, developers contribute 40% of land to open spaces but do not also pay money.

Cllr Marston said that she wanted to show what the money could be spent on as only 20 people had attended the previous consultation.

The Chairman said that S106 had changed and that it had previously been a roof tax.

Cllr Marston said that if it goes to Community Infrastructure Levy, money will come.

Cllr Brown said that correct details need to be given.

Cllr Gentle said that he understood S106 to be in zones for fair disposal of funds.

The Chairman said that when he served at FHDC he staked a claim whenever building was taking place in Lakenheath.

(c) Suffolk County Council

The clerk had provided each Councillor with an email from Cllr Noble regarding the Annual Parish meeting.

It was proposed to note Cllr Noble's comments. Voting 5 in favour and 2 abstain.

Resolution – Cllr Noble's comments to be noted.

7. Matters Arising from Minutes not elsewhere on Agenda

The clerk said that Richard Webster had advised that he would provide the street lighting report for the May meeting.

Cllr Brown asked if there had been any response from FHDC regarding the Celtic sign.

The clerk said that she had emailed FHDC and put the resident in contact but had not received a response.

Cllr Marston said that she would investigate.

The clerk said that she had received notification of the Kings Lynn & West Norfolk Community Infrastructure Levy consultation.

Cllr Brown asked if the post would be painted when the village sign was renovated.

The clerk said that it had been resolved to completely renovate the sign including post and base.

Action Point – Cllr Marston to investigate Celtic sign with FHDC.

8. Planning Matters:-

(a) To receive report from Planning Committee

(b) To consider any other outstanding applications/matters/including Lakenheath Hall

Cllr Brown gave her report:

'Applications with No Objections:

- DC/16/0279/HH - Householder Planning Application – Part single storey / part two storey rear extension (demolition of existing single storey rear extension) - 77 Eriswell Road Lakenheath, Suffolk
- DC/16/0419/HH Householder Planning Application - single storey front infill extension - 21 North Road Lakenheath
- DC/16/0302/HH Householder Planning Application - Two storey side extension and single storey rear extension - 1A South Road Lakenheath
- DC/16/0430/FUL - 42 Station Road -Planning Application - 1no. detached bungalow and detached single garage (demolition of existing outbuilding)

Applications with no objection in principle with conditions:

- DC/16/0399/HH – Householder planning application – 1.5 storey extension to front elevation – 107 High Street In principle happy with the concept except as presented out of character with the conservation area where it is located.
- DC/16/0273/FUL | Planning Application - Construction of Single storey front extensions to 10no. existing bungalows | 1-10 Sharpes Corner Bungalows Sharpes Corner Lakenheath Suffolk – a request has been made to be assured that the asbestos has been properly and completely removed and that the properties will be properly underpinned as the area is notorious for subsidence.
- 27 Birch Crescent Lakenheath Suffolk IP27 9JR - Householder Planning Application - (i) Raising of roof to create rooms in roof space (ii) Single storey front extension (iii) Attached garage to side elevation – not against the principle of an extension just without the raising of the roof which will infringe on neighbours privacy

Applications with Objections:

- DC/16/0294/FUL | Planning Application - (i) 3 no. dwellings with associated parking and (II) access | Development Land Sharpes Corner Lakenheath Suffolk – overdevelopment

On the **major developments** in Lakenheath via solicitors a request was made to the National Planning Casework Unit as to whether there was a need for an EIA screening. The NPCU have now applied to FHDC for information in relation to this before making a decision which could take up to 3 weeks from whenever they receive answers from FHDC.

Planning changes are afoot. The Government is trying to change the parameters whereby they consider that 'local' stops at district. This appears to be an attempt to allow communities and their Parish councils no genuine opportunity for engagement and consultation. Given the pressures on planning authorities to pass planning applications and their reducing resources, the likelihood of them opting to engage with councils and communities in any meaningful way appears limited. In short the role of parish councils is considered minimal, or perhaps even irrelevant. SALC wish to fight this statement and have produced a document for consultation with Parishes. The planning subcommittee are meeting to discuss this specifically prior to responding. If anyone else would like to participate we are meeting on Thursday evening at 7pm. I will be happy to pass on the consultation document.

School. No further news. Our Solicitors are chasing a response to the noise contours provided to Suffolk County Council at the beginning of the year. The sad news is that the current Head of the school is leaving in the summer to take up a new position in Saffron Walden. She will be sorely missed by the Village as she has done wonders for the present school.

The Tesco's site. Having remarketed the site I understand that several parties showed an interest in purchasing the site. The agents asked for final written tenders with a closing date last week. I am unaware of the result. Has anyone else heard anything on the site?

Results from the **Hatchfield Farm** enquiry are still not available. This has been delayed again as Lord Derby provided yet more new evidence to be considered. I have made a fresh representation on the new information relating to the Single Issue Review. I will continue with the cause on the basis that if Newmarket do not take their share of housing desperately needed particularly affordable housing it will be pushed on to the Key service centres and Primary Villages.

I attended the Town and Parish Forum meeting in March. Not so much information this time. In short the following is of note:

1. Brown bins. The USAF personnel in base housing facilities will not pay for the service as it will be covered by the MoD. It is hoped that the price will be frozen for three years. Registered Charities will be exempt from the charge too.

2. Road closure for repairs cost some £2000 a time. It has therefore been decided for speed on unclassified roads they will be closed for 15 minutes to deal with pot holes only. No notice given because of the short time involved. Additionally In practice telephoned faults gets better results than notifying on line. Photographic evidence helps too..
3. Suffolk CC are reducing road marking particularly white lines in the middle of the road as a cost cutting measure.
4. Speed activation mobile units containing a smiley face seem to have good effect in slowing traffic down. Kentford is now trying this method.
5. MOU after discussion Cllr Miller confirmed that FHDC are making internal changes when it will be bought back to the Forum for discussion.
6. There was a very brief discussion on the SIR as more relevant for later discussion at the next forum meeting which will still be within the consultation time.
7. Enforcement was explained by the Principal Enforcement Officer, Andy Smith. He confirmed that they cover Building work, change of use, breaches of conditions, Listed Buildings, conservation areas, trees, untidy land and advertisements. They do not cover anonymous or vexatious complaints, commercially motivated complaints, neighbour disputes, lease or tenancy breaches. They try to follow an informal route rather than formal for expediency. He will explain in more detail next month. He reinforced however that parking enforcement is a criminal act and to be dealt with by police. It will not be decriminalised as County and District do not want to take it on because of the cost of enforcement. It is a concern because it is likely to be passed to a private contractor who will charge the earth to release cars. Final question is do Parishes want to take it on as potentially it could become an income stream?

Enforcement: No further news.

Lakenheath Hall: I have received an update today from Paul Ashley on behalf of the owners stating:

'We have been asked to stop all work by the Planning Officer, David Beighton. This is due to the damage inflicted on the site by the company we had asked to clear the rubbish (i.e. old building, material, caravan and truck). Unfortunately the contractor took it upon himself to remove the topsoil and plants to a depth of approximately 15cms over most of the site and forming large piles of the resulting mix of soil, tree roots and plants. This work was done entirely without consultation or instruction from Yellow Door. On seeing the damage done to our site, we immediately called the arborologist recommended by the planners and asked them to produce a remediation plan. This plan has been given to the Planners and we hope that it will be approved shortly to enable us to get on with the work. Local firm, Sutton Services, has presented a tender and method statement in response to the remediation plan, which has been sent to the planners for their approval.

I would like to add that the original contractor, I think, now understands the magnitude of his error and has shown enthusiasm for being allowed to put right the wrong he had done, Accordingly he was given the arborologist's report and invited to tender for the remediation work. No tender has been received from that firm.

The front wall. After numerous phone calls and emails we have finally managed to get a meeting with the Conservation Officer on site. She has given us clear instruction as to what is required on the wall and recommended a local contractor. We have met with this contractor who has told us he will produce his tender early his week. Assuming his quote is acceptable to us and the Conservation Officer, he has said that he will be able to start work in early May.

I am sorry that I cannot bring better news to your meeting however I hope people will understand that we remained committed to our project of making Lakenheath Hall something which will be a credit to Lakenheath and not the eyesore it has been for so many years'.

Cllr Norman said that the piles of earth were still there. He asked where David Beighton had been when the last owners were on site.

Cllr Brown asked if there was any recourse.

The Chairman said that he could be invited to a meeting.

Cllr Gentle said that 100 trees were supposed to be planted in that area.

Cllr Marston said that it was good that the new owners had got planning involved.

Cllr Brown said that she could ask David Beighton to come along to a meeting.

Cllr Marston suggested an email first and then consider.

Cllr Brown said that she would make contact.

Action Point – Cllr Brown to contact David Beighton re Lakenheath Hall.

9. Lakenheath Cemetery

(a) To receive a Cemetery Report including Regulations Pack/Grave Depth/Pre-Payment and Reservation of Grave Space*

Cllr Whitehand said that she had visited for one and a half hours yesterday and that it was the nicest she had ever seen the cemetery. Nearly all the graves kept flowers to the headstone base. She added that she would like to have seen the bunker removed. She has looked at the bench at the entrance and there seemed to be a gap at the back.

The clerk said that the caretaker had removed a slat as it was rotten. She added that she had delayed the removal of the bunker until all of the Christmas wreaths had been disposed of.

Cllr Whitehand said that a square concrete pot near to the chapel seemed to have graffiti on it and asked if it could be turned to hide.

Cllr Durrant said that the whips were growing but that he was disappointed that the bins were still full as he had raised the subject now three months running. He added that the trees in the new section looked good and asked where the bench was for the children's area.

The clerk said that Sutton Services had been chased but that their mini digger was in Great Yarmouth. They had promised to carry out the work ASAP. She added that the bench was in the shed awaiting the removal of the bunker.

Cllr Durrant said that the trees in the old section should now be addressed. He added that it was now the wrong time of the year and asked for the tree report to be added to the June agenda.

Cllr Whitehand asked where the green bin was.

The clerk said that it was on site and would be put into place once the bunker was removed.

Cllr Whitehand asked if the old toilet roll holder could be removed in the public toilet. She asked if a new soap dispenser could be fitted. After discussion she agreed to purchase a hand soap pump. She went on to say that she would like to see a wooden entrance erected for the new children's section.

The Chairman suggested that the committee meets to discuss and presents to the next Parish Council meeting.

The remaining items were delayed until the end of the meeting when the public are excluded.

Action Point – Clerk to arrange for square pot near to chapel to be turned, removal of the bunker to commence and old toilet roll dispenser to be removed.

Action Point – Cllr Whitehand to purchase soap pump for public toilet

(b) To confirm Grant of Exclusive Rights of Burial

There were none.

10. Financial Matters including:-

(a) Payments of Accounts

It was proposed to accept expenditure as listed and all agreed.

(b) Bank Reconciliation

A bank reconciliation for 29th February 2016 was presented. It was proposed to accept and all agreed.

11. Standing Orders - Policy Updates

A copy of amendments to Standing Orders had been given to all councillors.

It was proposed to adopt. All agreed.

Resolution – Standing Orders updated and adopted.

12. Bench Situated on the Green in front of St Mary's Church

The clerk said that Cllr Noble has raised the issue of the bench at the Annual Parish Meeting. She added that it was listed as a Parish Council asset.

It was proposed that the caretaker repairs and stains the bench. All agreed.

Resolution – Caretaker to repair and stain the bench in front of St Mary's Church.

13. Speed-Watch & Vehicle Activated Sign

In her absence, the clerk read a Speed-Watch Report that Cllr Gyte had provided:

'To date we have 10 volunteers. We have had an initial meeting with PCSO Chittock when he explained the procedure and the stages in setting up the scheme. At the meeting we identified various sites around the village, some on the main road, (Eriswell Rd. Station Rd.) and some on other well used roads within the village (Broome Rd. Highfields Mill Rd.). These suggestions have been passed to our local Safer Neighbour Team and the police will decide which sites can be used.

We have all completed the required application form, Scheme Agreement form and Ethnic Diversity form, and these have been passed to PCSO Chittock who will forward them to Rod Gardner, the Suffolk Coordinator for Speedwatch, and all applicants will undergo a police vetting process. Once this is completed we then undergo training which is funded by the police.

I do not yet have a final costing for the equipment but PCSO Chittock gave a rough estimate of £1600. This will cover the cost of the speed gun, the High Vis jackets and the signage. I have already secured some finance from Councillor Noble who will match any amount granted by the Parish Council, so I should like to ask if the Parish council would agree to fund the scheme up to £800.

As I am not present tonight I am unable to make a formal proposal, but I would be most grateful if one of my fellow councillors would do that on my behalf, so that a vote and a decision can be made tonight. It would be a huge benefit to know that when the time comes the funding is there, and we can get on with delivering the scheme'.

It was proposed to fund the scheme to £800. All agreed.

The clerk had received a copy of a freedom of information request. Suffolk Constabulary had been asked to *'Provide the number of occasions during the period 1 January 2015 and 15 January 2016 what vehicle speed monitoring activity has been undertaken by Suffolk Constabulary using either hand-held equipment or a mobile Suffolk Safety Camera on the B1112 in 1. The parish (village of Eriswell and 2. The Parish (village) of Lakenheath'.* The answer given was Lakenheath - 5 occasions & Eriswell - 34 occasions.

The clerk said that she had approached Cllr Noble for locality monies to help fund a Vehicle Activated Sign. He had agreed to match fund the Parish Council.

Cllr Durrant asked if both activities were needed.

Cllr Brown said that Kentford had recently installed a camera and improvements are seen.

Cllr Norman asked about the sign on the pole near the children's play area.

The clerk said that this could one of the poles used for the new camera.

It was agreed that the clerk could now investigate the requirements of installing a sign.

Resolution – Speed-Watch to be funded to £800

Action Point – Clerk to investigate the requirements of installing a vehicle activated sign.

14. Old Waste Disposal Site

Cllr Gentle said that this property had been given to the Parish Council.

Cllr Norman said that it was used as a turnaround point at the perimeter fence.

Cllr Brown said that the piece of land referred to was fenced off.

The Chairman said that it was previously used by SCC.

Cllr Gentle said that all land to the perimeter fence is owned by the Parish Council

It was suggested that the clerk investigates through old records the ownership of the old waste disposal site.

Action Point – Clerk to investigate ownership of old waste disposal site to perimeter fence.

15. War Memorial Flower Posies

The clerk apologised, that after the power cut, she had forgotten to bring the flowers along.

It was proposed that the clerk could proceed with the purchase of artificial posies including poppies for the new vases around the war memorial. All agreed.

Resolution - Clerk to purchase flower posies including poppies for new vases around the war memorial.

16. S137 Applications - to include Magpas & EACH

The clerk read letters regarding S137 funding:

Magpas

The clerk advised that no grant had been given for 2014/15 or 2015/16.

Cllr Brown said that this area was covered by the East Anglian Air Ambulance.

It was proposed that no donation was given. All agreed.

EACH

The clerk advised that no grant had been given for 2014/15 or 2015/16.

Cllr Durrant said that EACH do an amazing job.

It was proposed to donate £500. All agreed.

Resolution – S137 payment of £500 to EACH with no payment to Magpas

17. Village Keeper Review*

The Chairman asked for the agenda to be varied. This item was to be delayed until the end of the meeting when the public are excluded. All agreed.

18. Items for Future Meetings

- Cemetery - Regulations and Grave Depth
- Speed-Watch
- Old waste disposal site
- Street Lighting
- Cemetery/Village Keeper

19. Such other business which, in the opinion of the Chairman, should be considered as a matter of urgency

The Chairman asked Cllr Durrant about complaints received from parishioners about the postal service.

Cllr Durrant said that one resident had not received post for 10 days then received 12 items in the morning and 13 later in the afternoon. Personally he had received a first class letter on the 31st which had been posted on the 21st. He added that overtime was no longer paid to the deliverers and that they just go home when their time is up.

Cllr Norman said that he had the same thing. He had contacted Thetford postal centre who advised that 1st class mail is delivered within 10 working days but that he had also received a 2nd class letter overnight.

Cllr Brown said that deliveries are now done in vans but include parcels. She added that rounds are longer and no overtime is available. She had been told that the deliverers start the next day where they left off the day before. There are no longer any relief postmen and days off are not covered. It is a problem with Royal Mail.

Cllr Durrant said that the Parish Council should raise the concerns on behalf of the parishioners.

It was proposed that the Clerk contacts Royal Mail. All agreed

Cllr Norman said that the children's play area is spotless and that 20-30 children were using it last week.

Resolution – Clerk to contact Royal Mail, raising concerns about the delivery service.

20. To note date of next Meeting (AGM) Monday 9th May 2016

Members of the public were asked to leave the meeting.

9. Lakenheath Cemetery

(a) To receive a Cemetery Report including Regulations Pack/Grave Depth/Pre-Payment and Reservation of Grave Space*

There was discussion about a Regulation Pack and it was decided that the clerk should investigate the Health & Safety issues regarding graves depths before any decision could be made and that the items should be added to the May agenda.

There was ongoing discussion about applications for pre-payment and reservation of grave spaces. It was decided that the clerk should raise questions about the pre-payment request.

It was proposed that the regulations should not be changed regarding the reservation of grave spaces and that the request should be declined. Voting 5 in favour and 2 abstain.

Action Point - Clerk to investigate H&S issues regarding grave depth, issue a copy of the proposed Regulations Pack to each Councillor added the items to the May agenda and raise question about the pre-payment request.

Resolution - No changes to Regulations regarding reservation of grave spaces and request to be declined.

17. Cemetery/Village Keeper review*

There followed a review of the cemetery/village keepers. It was agreed that the clerk would obtain further information and that the matter was to be discussed again at the May meeting.

Action Point – Cemetery/Village Keeper Review to be added to May agenda

There being no further business the meeting closed at 8:50 pm.

Lakenheath Parish Council

9th May 2016

Date	Cheque No.	Payee	Description of supply	Amount
18.04.2016	3527	EDF Energy	Electricity - Cemetery Chapel	£26.65
25.04.2016	3528	British Gas	Electricity - Wings Road Public Conveniences	£95.98
29.04.2016	3529 to 3533	Staff	April Salaries, Income Tax, NI & Pension	£4,774.50
29.04.2016	3534	BT Payment Services	Telephone & Broadband	£54.84
09.05.2016 *	3535	Bussens & Parkin (2015)	Paint	£21.58
09.05.2016 *	3536	G & J Peck Ltd	Belt	£84.36
09.05.2016 *	3537	EACH	S137	£500.00
09.05.2016 *	3538	Sutton Services Ltd	Cemetery - Stone & Clearance of Bunkers	£862.98
09.05.2016 *	3539	Business Services at CAS Ltd	Insurance Amendments	£13.90
09.05.2016 *	3540	Tower Mint Ltd	HM the Queen's 90th Birthday Commemorative Coins	£763.61
09.05.2016 *	3541	Mrs A Sutton	Reimbursement (Flowers for War Memorial Vases)	£21.00
09.05.2016 *	3542	Solutions Cleaning Supplies	Bulk Pack Toilet Tissue & Dispenser	£48.00
09.05.2016 *	3543	K C Flack	Cemetery - Removal of Bunker & Entrance Repair	£470.00
09.05.2016 *	3544	RST Irrigation Ltd	Replace Belt & Set Up Mower Blades	£115.50
09.05.2016 *	3545	County Graphics (Norfolk) Ltd	Lakenheath Times (April Edition)	£400.00

* Cheques for signing